

In the
Indiana Supreme Court



In re Failure to Satisfy Costs in Lawyer
Discipline Case of:

Supreme Court Case No.
48S00-1601-DI-15

Veronica M. Roby,
Respondent

Published Order Suspending Attorney for Failure to Pay Costs

On February 21, 2017, the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission filed a “Petition for Suspension of Attorney for Failure to Satisfy Costs Ordered in Connection with Certain Proceedings under Indiana Admission and Discipline Rule 23,” asserting that Respondent failed to pay costs assessed in this disciplinary action by the due date of the attorney’s annual registration fee (October 1), in violation of the requirements of Indiana Admission and Discipline Rule 23(10)(f)(5) (2016) (now Rule 23(10.1)(d)) or Rule 23(16) (2016) (now Rule 23(21)), and Respondent is therefore subject to suspension under Admission and Discipline Rule 2(h). Respondent has filed no response to the Commission’s petition.

Being duly advised, the Court now **SUSPENDS** Respondent from the practice of law in Indiana, **effective fifteen days from the date of this order**. Respondent shall fulfill all the duties of a suspended attorney under Admission and Discipline Rule 23(26). Respondent may file an application for reinstatement to the practice of law in this state pursuant to Admission and Discipline Rule 2(h), provided the requirements of that provision are met. Reinstatement will be granted only if no other suspension is in effect and Respondent is otherwise eligible for reinstatement. Applications for reinstatement or for other relief shall be filed under the cause number for this case.

Done at Indianapolis, Indiana, on 4/21/2017.

Loretta H. Rush
Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.